IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 0.420D24
Plaintiff,)	8:12CR24
vs.)	DETENTION ORDER
NATHAN YOUNG,)	
Defendant.)	
A. Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing per Reform Act on February 1, 2012, the detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)	ursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Court orders the above-named defendant e) and (i).
conditions will reasonably assure t X By clear and convincing evidence t	tion tion because it finds: idence that no condition or combination of the appearance of the defendant as required. that no condition or combination of conditions of any other person or the community.
which was contained in the Pretrial Services X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: production I) in violation of 18 U.S twenty-five years and a the receipt and distribute minimum sentence of of forty years imprison X (b) The offense is a crime (c) The offense involves a	the offense charged: /manufacturing of child pornography (Count .C. § 2251(a) carries a minimum sentence of a maximum of fifty years imprisonment; and ation of child pornography (Count II) carries a fifteen years imprisonment and a maximum ment. of violence - see 18 U.S.C. § 3152(a)(4)(C).
may affect wh The defendan X The defendan X The defendan The defendan The defendar ties. X Past conduct conviction The defendan X The defendan	

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

	The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings.
(b)	At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on: Probation
	Parole
(c)	Supervised Release Other Factors:
(6)	The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation.
	The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
	The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:
X (4) The r	nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's
releas defen	se are as follows: the nature of the charges in the Indictment, the dant's prior criminal and mental health history, the defendant's of abuse history, and the testimony of Investigator Haugaard
regard	ding the local charges of child enticement in the Columbus area.
X (5) Rebut	ttable Presumptions
on the 3142(ermining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied e following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
<u>X</u> (a)	That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety
	of any other person and the community because the Court finds that
	the crime involves:
	X (1) A crime of violence- see 18 U.S.C. § 3152(a)(4)(C); or (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life
	imprisonment or death; or (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum
	penalty of 10 years or more; or
	(4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3)
	above, <u>and</u> the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above
	which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.
(b)	That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably
	assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety
	of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe:
	(1) That the defendant has committed a controlled
	substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
	10 years or more. (2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18
	U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and
	in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment
	if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: February 1, 2012. BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge